Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 585-2 Filed 05/06/25 Page 1 of 30

## **EXHIBIT A**

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

```
Page 1
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
              SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
Richard Kadrey, et al.,
Individual and Representative )
Plaintiffs,
                                     CASE NO.
                                      3:23-cv-03417-VC
         -against-
Meta Platforms, Inc.,
    Defendant.
            *** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ***
               ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
            VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF
                  MELANIE KAMBADUR
                    Cooley, LLP
                  55 Hudson Yards
             New York, New York 10001
                     09/17/2024
                  9:07 a.m. (EDT)
           REPORTED BY: MONIQUE CABRERA
               DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
            1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812
               Washington, D.C. 20036
                   (202) 232-0646
```

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 34
1	Q. Would books be considered a high
2	quality data?
3	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
4	A. It's possible that some books are
5	high quality data.
6	BY MR. YOUNG:
7	Q. When you say "some books," what do
8	you mean?
9	A. I mean that it's likely that not all
10	books would improve performance metrics.
11	Q. Would traditionally published books
12	be considered high quality?
13	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
14	Vague.
15	You can answer.
16	A. I would say it depends on the book
17	and it depends on the model.
18	BY MR. YOUNG:
19	Q. Would you say it's now part of your
20	responsibility strike that.
21	At some point, was it part of your
22	responsibility to evaluate datasets for use in
1	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 35
1	training large language models?
2	A. Not directly. I have managed people
3	who were doing the evaluation and I sometimes
4	reviewed their evaluations.
5	Q. So by "review evaluations," do you
6	mean someone who reported to you, would evaluate
7	a dataset for use in training and then you would
8	say yes, no, this would be something we would
9	include?
10	A. I did not solely make the yes/no
11	decisions. The first part of that statement,
12	that people who report to me would run
13	experiments and evaluate models is correct.
14	Q. Who else so you said you were not
15	the person who solely made the decision to
16	include certain datasets.
17	Who else would have input into that
18	decision?
19	A. Generally, we looked at the actual
20	perf the performance of different experiments
21	and for which like, are you asking about a
22	specific model?

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 118
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Do you know who made that decision?
3	A. I don't think there was a
4	singular there was not a singular person.
5	Q. But a decision was made, correct?
6	A. To include Libgen into Llama 3?
7	Yes.
8	Q. Do you remember why that decision
9	was made to include Libgen into Llama 3?
10	A. As with all of our datasets, we had
11	run some small-scale experiments that indicated
12	it would positively improve our benchmarks on a
13	larger model. And we had gone through our legal
14	and privacy review procedures.
15	Q. Did anyone express to you any
16	concern with using the Libgen data source as
17	pre-training material?
18	A. I recall people asking if it was
19	approved.
20	Q. Did you express any concern about
21	using the Libgen data source as pre-training
22	material?

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

ı		
	1	Page 274
	1	Q. Can you turn to the bottommost
	2	e-mail, the first in time e-mail.
	3	Is that the e-mail sent by
	4	Mr. Rodriguez on December 16, 2022, at time stamp
	5	12:54 a.m.?
	6	A. Yes, I see that e-mail.
	7	Q. Could us remind me who
	8	Aurelien Rodriguez is?
	9	A. He was a research manager at Meta.
	10	Q. So a research manager. So as of
	11	this e-mail, was he equal in seniority to you?
	12	A. I am trying to I think I may have
	13	been a level higher than him, but we were equal
	14	in the reporting chain.
	15	Q. Okay. Now, Aurelien begins his
	16	e-mail Mr. Rodriguez begins his e-mail. It
	17	says: We conducted the ablations on the impact
	18	on proprietary data on LLMs.
	19	What does what does ablation mean
	20	in a generative AI context?
	21	A. In this context, it means a
	22	controlled experiment.
	1	<u>-</u>
	i	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 275
1	Q. So what a controlled experiment
2	how?
3	A. Sorry. I don't understand the
4	question.
5	Q. Can can ablation mean including
6	certain data?
7	A. An ablation is more just a general
8	term for a controlled experiment. So you could
9	change the data as one of the variables that you
10	choose to change.
11	Q. So, for example, which datasets are
12	included or are not included in the experiment?
13	A. Yes, that could be an example
14	experiment.
15	Q. What about like weighting a certain
16	dataset?
17	A. That could also be an example
18	experiment, yes.
19	Q. Okay. So based on this first
20	sentence, he is describing an experiment on the
21	impact of proprietary data on LLMs, right?
22	Do you understand that to mean he is
•	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 276
1	describing an experiment on some some category
2	of data on large language models?
3	A. The I understand that there were
4	experiments on some category of data.
5	Q. Proprietary data, right?
6	A. As Aurelien defines it, yes. As
7	Aurelien defines, yes.
8	Q. And he starts with his conclusion of
9	his experiment first, right?
10	He says: Our conclusion is that the
11	impact is limited.
12	Do you see that?
13	A. Yes, I see that line.
14	Q. So do you interpret that sentence to
15	mean Aurelien's conclusion is that the impact of
16	proprietary data is limited on large language
17	models?
18	A. That is how I would interpret those
19	two sentences, yes.
20	Q. What's the date on this e-mail?
21	A. December 16th, 2022.
22	Q. So this was before the release of
1	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 277
1	the Llama 2 models, correct?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Now, do you see how Aurelien signs
4	off his e-mail.
5	It says: Cheers, the Genesis team.
6	Right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And do you recall earlier telling us
9	that "Genesis" was another name for the Llama
10	models?
11	A. In this context, it's they're
12	also referring to it as a team, but it has we
13	had internal versions of the model named Genesis
14	that were the initial basis for Llama models.
15	Q. So Aurelien is reporting on the
16	results or his interpretation of the experiments
17	on behalf of Genesis team, right?
18	A. That's what it appears in this
19	e-mail.
20	Q. Do you know if the Genesis team
21	eventually became the Llama team?
22	A. Not precisely. Well, some members

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 278
1 of the	Genesis team joined or what I think he
2 is refe	rring as the Genesis team. I am not sure
3 this wa	s, like, an official organization. But
4 some me	mbers of the group I think he is referring
5 to join	ed a larger group which then worked on
6 Llama.	
7	Q. So do you see the sentence in bold?
8 He says	: We are confident that we can reproduce
9 Chinchi	lla with OSS data.
10	Do you see that sentence or that
11 clause?	Excuse me?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Is Chinchilla a model that was
14 produce	d by Google?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. So Aurelien is saying that based
17 on this	sentence here, do you understand Aurelien
18 to be a	ttempting or the Genesis team to be
19 attempt	ing to reproduce Chinchilla?
20	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
21	A. Yes, I understand that this was a
22 goal of	the Genesis model to reproduce some

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 279
1	metrics that were similar to Chinchilla.
2	BY MR. YOUNG:
3	Q. So when you what do you
4	understand the word "reproduce" to mean in this
5	context?
6	A. Based on a broader context I have
7	from this e-mail, I understand it to mean using
8	relatively similar model size scales to achieve
9	similar benchmark performance.
10	Q. Do you know what OSS data means?
11	A. We use OSS sometimes as a shorthand
12	for open source, so that would be my presumption,
13	but I don't know what precisely Aurelien means.
14	Q. Is open source data synonymous with
15	publicly available data?
16	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
17	A. I don't know what Aurelien means in
18	his reference.
19	BY MR. YOUNG:
20	Q. Do you have an understanding of what
21	open source data is?
22	A. A high level understanding.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 280
1	Q. What is your understanding of what
2	open source data is?
3	A. Data which has been permissibly
4	licensed.
5	Q. Can you explain what "permissibly
6	licensed" means?
7	A. I don't have a precise definition,
8	but which has a license attached that gives
9	others permission to use that artifact.
10	Q. So Aurelien says: And we will train
11	our model only on data compatible with open
12	source.
13	Do you see that?
14	A. Yes, I see that.
15	Q. Do you see the next sentence? He
16	goes: We are excited that this allows us to
17	align Genesis current milestone of reproducing
18	Chinchilla with our FRESCO value.
19	Do you know what FRESCO value means?
20	A. I believe FRESCO was an acronym used
21	for some kind of mission or mission statement or
22	something like that in FAIR.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

		Page 281
1	Q.	Do you have a recollection of what
2	that acronym	stood for?
3	Α.	I don't recall for sure.
4	Q.	Do you recall what some of the
5	letters stoo	d for?
6	Α.	I believe O stands for open. That's
7	the only one	I'm remembering off the top of my
8	head, and I	am not even 100 percent sure on that
9	one.	
10	Q.	Okay. Do you see how further on in
11	that paragra	ph Aurelien talks about RAI?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Is RAI Responsible AI?
14	Α.	I believe that he is using it as a
15	synonym a	s a abbreviation for Responsible AI,
16	yes.	
17	Q.	Is that a division at Meta?
18	Α.	At some point, we had an
19	organization	called RAI. I am not sure if that's
20	what Meta	or if that is what is Aurelien is
21	referring to	here.
22	Q.	Do you know if that organization

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 282
1	still exists?
2	A. There are still members of that
3	organization, but there have been an
4	organizational changes since then.
5	Q. Do you know who was in the RAI
6	organization as of this e-mail?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Do you know who is in the RAI
9	organization now?
10	A. The the there is not to my
11	knowledge an organization called RAI.
12	Q. Is there an organization so what
13	do you understand the role of the Responsible AI
14	organization to have been?
15	A. I don't have that broad of context
16	to understand their complete role at Meta.
17	Q. So then Aurelien continues. He
18	makes at Luke Zettlemoyer. Do you know who
19	Luke Zettlemoyer is?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Who is Luke Zettlemoyer?
22	A. He's a research director at FAIR.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 283
1	Q. Is he a full-time employee of Meta?
2	A. I am not sure if he is full-time or
3	not. He is co-listed as a professor.
4	Q. So it goes on: Your experience with
5	open source at OPT will be greatly appreciated
6	here.
7	What is OPT?
8	A. OPT is another model that we trained
9	on Meta.
10	Q. What type of model was that?
11	A. Also a language large model.
12	Q. Was that model ever released to the
13	public?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. What was the name?
16	A. OPT.
17	Q. Thank you for that. I realized that
18	was a silly question after I asked it.
19	So if you go down to after do
20	you see the line after the Genesis team, the
21	sign-off?
22	A. Yes.
i	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 284
1	Q. It says: Details of the experiment.
2	Do you see that section?
3	A. I see that section.
4	Q. Do you see the reference to the XL
5	Former's team?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you know what that is?
8	A. I know that XL Former is the name of
9	a library. I am not sure who he is referring to
10	when he says XL Former's team.
11	Q. Now, before we go into this, can we
12	go back to the final paragraph right before the
13	cheers, Aurelien signed off.
14	So the first sentence of that final
15	paragraph, do you see that sentence begins: Once
16	our final training is done?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. So he says: Once our final training
19	is done, we will reinvestigate where license data
20	could be useful to further inform our future
21	investments in this area.
22	Do you see that?

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 285
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Do you understand him to understand
3	license data to be different than open source
4	data?
5	A. From that sentence, it's hard to
6	tell what his understanding was.
7	Q. So that first sentence underneath
8	details of experiment, does the XL Former's team
9	ransom parallel training incorporating are not
10	licensed data coming from GitHub or Libgen.
11	Do you see that?
12	A. Yes, I see that.
13	Q. Okay. Do you understand him to be
14	now to be referring to licensed data as data
15	coming from GitHub and Libgen?
16	A. I would understand that he is
17	referring in this sentence to a subset of GitHub
18	and Libgen. I don't know where he got his
19	information on the license status or if he
20	consulted any lawyers at Meta.
21	Q. And do you understand that to be
22	distinct from the OSS data he was referring to

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only Melanie Kambadur

Page 286 earlier? 1 2 It appears to be distinct based on Α. 3 his text, but from the text, my understanding is he also doesn't have a precise understanding of 4 5 the meaning of those terms. When he is -- based on the context 6 Ο. 7 of this e-mail, it seems pretty clear that when 8 he's talking about open source data, he is 9 referring to data that is neither from GitHub or 10 Libgen, correct? 11 Α. Perhaps, based on the context of 12 this e-mail, but there -- I have an additional --13 yeah, can you rephrase that little bit because I 14 am -- actually I'm unclear. 15 Aurelien in that bold statement 16 We can reproduce Chinchilla with OSS data 17 and we will train our models only on dates 18 compatible with open source. 19 Is that correct? 20 Α. Yes, he says that. 21 So if he's -- there's an 0. 2.2 understanding he has of what OSS data is,

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Melanie Kambadur

Page 287 1 correct? 2 He has made some definition of open Α. 3 source data. 4 0. And then if we look at the paragraph 5 at bottom of the page where he is describing the experiment, he's saying that the -- do you see 6 7 the sentence where he says: This experiment was -- that last clause that begins "as," right? 8 9 As purely exploratory projects whose goal was to determine whether we should buy 10 licenses to use this data. 11 12 Do you understand that that data 13 meant GitHub or Libgen? 14 Α. I understand he's referring to 15 GitHub or Libgen. I don't think we can assume 16 from the sentence that it's all GitHub or Libgen 17 data. 18 Q. But he -- but you can assume from 19 this sentence that the license data he is referring to was distinct from the OSS data he 20 21 was referring to in that bolded sentence above, 2.2 correct?

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 288
1	A. It seems so.
2	Q. Do you have an understanding of what
3	he meant by the determining whether we should
4	by licenses to use this data?
5	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
6	A. I don't really understand what he
7	meant partially because even open source data, to
8	my understanding, has licenses or can a have a
9	license.
10	BY MR. YOUNG:
11	Q. Can you sometime buy licenses to use
12	data?
13	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
14	A. The wording is a little confusing to
15	me. Like, it can I am not sure exactly how
16	the how the legal purchasing of the license
17	worked, but I know that you can purchase data.
18	BY MR. YOUNG:
19	Q. Can you purchase licenses to, for
20	example, use certain media?
21	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
22	_
	A. Can someone purchase a license to

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 289
1	utilize media? I think so, but I am not an
2	expert.
3	BY MR. YOUNG:
4	Q. For example, have you ever rent a
5	streaming video?
6	A. Yes, I have rented streaming videos.
7	Q. Do you understand that to be
8	purchasing a license to that video?
9	MR. WEINSTEIN: Object to form.
10	A. I have never thought about it that
11	way. I don't I have never seen a specific
12	license attached.
13	BY MR. YOUNG:
14	Q. Okay. So continuing on to the next
15	page, so Aurelien continues to describe this
16	experiment.
17	You so what is WinoGrande,
18	HellaSwag, BooIQ, SIQA, and PIQA?
19	A. These are the names of academic
20	benchmarks that we use to assess model
21	performance.
22	Q. And there's a number of there's a

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only Melanie Kambadur

Page 290 chart describing how the model performed under 1 2 each of those benchmarks, correct? 3 How this -- how the experiment --Α. 4 experimental model performed, yes. 5 And there generally are higher numbers mean -- do higher numbers mean the 6 7 performance is better or worse? 8 Α. For these benchmarks, higher 9 performance is better, but there are also large 10 variances on these. So we -- there's a lot of noise in the results, and we don't take a 11 12 strictly higher number to always mean strictly 13 better. 14 Ο. So it's kind of like when you're 15 examining -- statistics, when you're examining 16 statistical significance, for example? Would 17 that be fair? 18 Α. There is variance on the benchmarks, 19 if that's what you mean. 20 Q. Okay. So before we examined that, 21 he is -- Aurelien is discussing -- it says that 2.2 these are model versions of Genesis, right?

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 291
1	Do you see at that? Right right
2	before the table?
3	A. Yes, I see that.
4	Q. Do you understand that to also mean
5	model versions of what become Llama?
6	A. I understand that to mean similar
7	architectural settings as Llama, as what would
8	become the future Llama 1.
9	Q. Okay. So each of the rows describe
10	data that was either included or not included in
11	each of the experiments. Would that be fair?
12	A. That's what it looks like.
13	Q. And top row, minus the headings is
14	baseline includes GitHub and Libgen, right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Do you understand that to mean there
17	is a base model and also GitHub and Libgen data
18	included as pre-training? That was the first
19	topmost experiment?
20	A. That's what I would assume.
21	Q. And the next one down is fiction
22	novels removed, GitHub open license owned.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 292
1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes, I see that line.
3	Q. What do you understand "fiction
4	novels removed" to mean?
5	A. I would guess this means that there
6	was some fiction books not present in this
7	training experiment.
8	Q. And the last one is Libgen and
9	GitHub excluded. So would you understand this to
10	just be the baseline model?
11	A. I am not sure what you mean by
12	"baseline" in particular because there's already
13	another line labeled "baseline."
14	Q. So the very top one says baseline
15	includes GitHub and Libgen, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And the very bottom one, it just
18	says Libgen and GitHub excluded, right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So would that bottom experiment
21	likely just be the baseline model without Libgen
22	and GitHub included?
1	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only Melanie Kambadur

Page 293 I would assume that it's similar to 1 Α. 2 the top row but without Libgen and GitHub. 3 So looking at those results, each Q. column represents the performance of each of 4 those experiments for each of these -- each of 5 these academic benchmarks, right? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. So for BIQ, the experiment modeled 9 -- the experiment's model that includes GitHub and Libgen scored the highest, right? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Ο. For PIQA, the experiment model that 13 included GitHub and Libgen scored the lowest, 14 correct? 15 Α. According to this table, yes. 16 And SIQA, the model that included 0. 17 GitHub and Libgen scored the highest but only by 18 a tenth, correct? 19 It got -- yes, a .1 higher score on 20 this benchmark for this point in the training. 21 Ο. Under the HellaSwaq, the baseline 2.2 that included GitHub and Libgen scored the lowest

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 294
1	again, right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And then WinoGrande, it scored the
4	lowest again, right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So does this table support
7	Aurelien's original conclusion that the impact,
8	assuming that he was measuring the impact of
9	GitHub and Libgen, was limited?
10	A. Given are you asking if I agree
11	with his conclusion?
12	Q. Yes.
13	A. I would say there's not really
14	enough information to make that kind of
15	conclusion here.
16	Q. Based on this e-mail, the Genesis
17	team made that conclusion, right?
18	A. At least Aurelien as on behalf of
19	who represented himself on behalf of Genesis
20	team.
21	Q. Libgen, you testified earlier that
22	Libgen was not used as pre-training material for
1	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 295
1	either the Llama 1 series and Llama 2 series of
2	models, correct?
3	A. To best of my understanding, that it
4	was not used.
5	Q. But you but it was used or at
6	least some version or some set of Libgen was used
7	to train the Llama 3 and up, correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. So at some point, the decision was
10	made to include Libgen in pre-training the
11	Llama 3 models, right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. So can you turn back to the first
14	page, the very bottom e-mail from Joelle Pineau.
15	Do you know who Joelle Pineau is?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Who is she?
18	A. I don't know her title at the time
19	of this e-mail, but she is currently VP of FAIR.
20	Q. Then she sends an e-mail on
21	December 16th, 2022 at 8:43 a.m., right?
22	A. Yes.
1	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

1	Q.	Page 296 She adds you, right, to the e-mail
		she adds you, right, to the e-mair
2	thread?	
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	And Mary, right?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	And Mary is Mary Williamson?
7	Α.	It appears so.
8	Q.	Is Mary Williamson a member of FAIR
9	at this time	?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	So Joelle Pineau says: Thanks for
12	the meeting.	Great to have this analysis help
13	guide our in	vestments.
14		Do you see that?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Do you have an understanding of what
17	Ms. Pineau m	eant by "investments"?
18	Α.	I couldn't be certain. It could
19	mean the mode	el training investments.
20	Q.	What about purchasing or licensing
21	data?	
22	Α.	It could also refer to that. I am

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Page 2	97
1 not certain.	
2 Q. And for pre-training models?	
3 A. It's hard to speculate from the	
4 limited context here.	
5 Q. Okay.	
6 A. It could also mean whether we should	l
7 open source this model. There's a lot of	
8 interpretations here.	
9 Q. You can set that document aside.	
10 MR. YOUNG: So I am going to mark as	
11 Plaintiffs' 19 20. Apologize a	
12 document bearing Bates label Meta Kadrey	
13 0054898.	
14 (Whereupon, Kambadur Exhibit 20,	
15 Workplace Chat Bates Number Meta Kadrey	
16 0054898, was marked for identification.)	
17 BY MR. YOUNG:	
18 Q. Why don't you take a moment to	
19 review the document and let me know when you are	
20 ready.	
21 A. I reviewed it.	
22 Q. Great.	

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 337
1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Monique Cabrera, the officer
3	before whom the foregoing deposition was
4	taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	transcript is a true and correct record of
6	the testimony given; that said testimony was
7	taken by me stenographically and thereafter
8	reduced to typewriting under my direction;
9	and that I am neither counsel for, related
10	to, nor employed by any of the parties to
11	this case and have no interest, financial or
12	otherwise, in its outcome.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
14	set my hand this 17th day of September, 2024.
15	
16	
17	m. Pelas
18	Monique Cobrera
19	MONIQUE CABRERA
20	Notary Public in and for the State of New York
21	County of Suffolk
	My Commission No.
22	Expires: 06/12/2026